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Today’s Outline

1. Identify a broad range of academic work that can (and should) be published

2. Select an appropriate journal and article category for a manuscript

3. Understand the manuscript review process at journals

4. Adhere to ethical principles in publication
Why is it important to publish?

- Disseminate your research findings to the community
- Make a contribution to the field of study
- Influence policy
- Career advancement
What do I have to write about?

**Study Designs**
- Observational
- Experimental
- Quality Improvement

**Data Sources**
- Primary data collection
- Secondary data analysis (existing data)
  - Study datasets
  - “Administrative data”
Step 1: Identify Opportunities

- What projects or innovation are you engaged in?
- What is your role?
- How interested are you in this topic/area?
Example 1: Tracking duty hour violations

1. Current knowledge
   - Resident duty-hour restrictions introduced by ACGME
   - Usually tracked by resident self-report

2. Unknown, question:
   - Is resident self-reporting of duty hours valid? (concerns about recall bias, intentional misreporting)
   - Is time-stamped automatic data collection better?

3. Methods
   - Retrospective observational study
   - Compare RMS to parking card data

4. Results, implications
   - Significantly higher number of duty-hour violations in parking card data than in self-reported RMS data.

Example 2: Diagnosis of OAD

1. Current knowledge
   - FEV₁/FVC ratio is most commonly used measurement in United States for diagnosing OAD
   - Obesity can decrease a patient's vital capacity

2. Unknown, question:
   - Specific impact of body mass index (BMI) on measures of FVC and SVC is not well described.

3. Methods
   - Retrospective review of pulmonary function tests
   - Analyses to assess effect of BMI on measures of VC

4. Results, implications
   - Difference between FVC and other measures of VC depends on BMI
   - Use of FEV₁/FVC may lead to underdiagnosis of obstructive airway disease in overweight and obese individuals

Fortis S, Corazalla EO, Wang Q, Kim HJ. The difference between slow and forced vital capacity increases with increasing body mass index: A paradoxical difference in low and normal body mass indices. Respiratory Care 2015;60(1):113-118
Example 3: POCUS curricula

1. Current knowledge
   • Ultrasound is a valuable tool in the safe performance of procedures.
   • Emerging use for point-of-care assessment by internists

2. Unknown, question:
   • We don’t know
     – which ultrasound applications internists believe are the most useful,
     – what ultrasound skills internal medicine residency programs are teaching to trainees
     – what barriers may exist to the teaching or use of ultrasound in training settings.

3. Methods
   • 27-question survey administered to APDIM members

Peer-reviewed repositories for curricular materials

- “Stand-alone,” complete
- “Classroom” tested
- Ready for implementation by other users
- Cited as peer-reviewed product

https://www.mededportal.org/
Example 4: QI Intervention

1. Current knowledge
   • Effective management of patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) can be time-consuming and costly.

2. Unknown, question:
   • Can using the electronic medical record to automatically generate reminder letters (for patients not meeting recommended DM targets) improve practice-level quality metrics for DM management?

3. Methods
   • 15 month QI Intervention, EMR-generated letters
   • Analyzed changes in cross-sectional, practice-level, quality metrics for DM care at four time points: 6 months before the intervention, start of the intervention, end of the intervention, and 6 months after intervention

4. Results, implications
   • Intervention was associated with modest improvements in several, but not all DM measures

Good News for QI

- Institutions and journals starting to recognize QI as important scholarship

  - Immediate goal: Increase completeness, precision, transparency of published QI reports
  - Ultimate goal: Encourage publication of more and better QI reports

SQUIRE International Writing Conference
November 17-18, 2015, Dartmouth

- Learn about SQUIRE 2.0…What’s new, emerging, challenging?
- Learn how to design, run, and sustain a writing group for local QI work
- Employ writing techniques applicable for scholarly improvement writing
- *Work on developing your writing skills and advance your own manuscript*
Other sources of ideas for what to write about

• Professional meetings

• Conversations with colleagues, listserves

• Journal tables of contents – topics, article types
Article Types – e.g. JAMA

Author Initiated and Submitted
- Original Investigation
- Clinical Trial
- Meta-analysis
- Caring for the Critically Ill Patient
- Brief Report
- JAMA Clinical Challenge
- Viewpoint
- A Piece of My Mind
- Letter to the Editor (not PR)
- Letter in Reply (not PR)
- Research Letter
- Special Communication
- Poetry and Medicine

Pre-submission Inquiry and Discussion with Editor
- Clinical Crossroads
- Review
- Grand Rounds
- JAMA Clinical Evidence Synopsis
- JAMA Diagnostic Test Interpretation
- The Rational Clinical Examination
Pediatrics

Ethics Rounds

• Discussions of cases that illustrate ethical dilemmas in patient care, research, or administration.

• Must contact assistant editor before submission.

Quality reports

• *Purpose*: add to understanding of how to improve quality in clinical settings.

• *Content*: describe the change process, whether successful or unsuccessful, and insights regarding why planned interventions did or did not lead to improvement.
Step 2: Write Purpose Statement/Question

• What is your innovation?
• What specific problem are you solving?
• What specific questions do you want to answer?

Step 3: Review the literature

• What is already known?
• What are others doing?
• What have others reported?
• How will your project contribute to what is already known/reported?
• What is your angle?
  – piece of the puzzle

But before you get too far in deciding your approach to answering your questions or testing your innovation...
Give your idea “the sniff test”

1. **So what?** Will it make a real contribution to the literature (vs “litter-ature”)

2. **Who cares?** (stakeholders, applicability)

3. **What venue?** (journals, other peer-reviewed repositories)

The successful publication will:

1. Have a clear, focused main message
2. Reach an audience that needs or wants to hear that message.
Writing as a team sport

Potential advantages include:

• Workload is shared.
• Leverage complementary skills
• New ideas and perspectives enrich the text
• Writer has more interaction, less isolation
• New writers can be mentored
What are editors looking for?

• Importance
• Originality
• Relevance to readers
• Usefulness to readers and, ultimately, to patients
• Truth
• Excitement/ “wow” factor
• Timeliness
• Clear and engaging writing
Where to Publish?

- Scientific journals
- Conferences and workshops
  - Conference proceedings
  - Posters
  - Talks
- Books
- Book chapters
- Technical reports
- Seminar presentations
- Popular science magazines
Scientific Journals

• Most effective way to inform the scientific community about your work
• Scientists’ important productivity outcomes (measure for hiring, tenure, promotion),
• Rely on anonymous review by peer scientists
• Involve a long delay from submission to publication
• May require several submissions to different journals
What is peer-review?

- Review by peers
- Includes:
  - internal review (by editorial staff)
  - external review (by experts in the field)
- Importance of peer-review process: validation
How to pick a journal

- Peer-reviewed
- Aim & scope
- Readership (e.g. general vs. subspecialty)
- Impact Factor (flawed but useful)
- Reputation of the journal
- Types of submissions accepted
- Editorial Board, previously published authors
- Speed to publication
- Accept / reject rates
- Talk to colleagues
- Where are articles similar to yours published?
Problems with peer review

- Means different things at different journals
- Slow
- Expensive
- Subjective
- Biased
- Open to abuse
- Poor at detecting errors
- Almost useless at detecting fraud
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h index</th>
<th>h(d) index</th>
<th>Total Publications</th>
<th>First/Last Author Publications</th>
<th>Total Citations</th>
<th>First/Last Author Citations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>24783</td>
<td>5771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact Factor

- In a given year, the impact factor of a journal is the average number of citations to those papers that were published during the two preceding years.

- For example, 2003 impact factor:
  - $A = \#$ of times articles published in 2001 and 2002 were cited by indexed journals during 2003.
  - $B = \text{total number of "citable items" (articles, reviews, proceedings) published in 2001 and 2002.}$
  - $2003 \text{ impact factor} = \frac{A}{B}$

- Publications in journals with high impact factors are thought to be more prestigious.
  - Nature: 31.4
  - Vision Research: 2.1
  - Typically, impact factors $> 1$ indicate “good” journals.
Article format basics

There are rules for each format that vary by journal

• Original investigation
  – Clinical trial
• Brief report (1000-1500 words)/Research letter (600 words)
• Meta-analysis (or “Review”)
• “Clinical challenge” (~“Case Report”)
• Letter to the editor (not peer reviewed)
• Commentary
• Viewpoint
• Invited papers including editorials (some peer-reviewed, others not)
• Chapters (not peer-reviewed)
Keep your own list

Example: Tobacco research RCTs

- NEJM
- JAMA
- Lancet
- JAMA Internal Medicine
- Annals of Internal Medicine
- American Journal of Public Health
- Preventive Medicine

- Addiction
- Addictive Behaviors
- Tobacco Control
- Nicotine and Tobacco Research
- American Journal of Health Promotion
- Tobacco Induced Diseases
- Tobacco Use Insights
### Top 25 Public Health Journals

**Source:** 2013 Journal Citation Reports (JCR) Science and Social Science Editions, Institute for Scientific Information, Subject Category: Public, Environmental and Occupational Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal Name</th>
<th>Impact Factor</th>
<th>Public Health Knowledge Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Epidemiology</td>
<td>9.197</td>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiologic Reviews</td>
<td>7.333</td>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Health Perspectives</td>
<td>7.029</td>
<td>Environmental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Review of Public Health</td>
<td>6.627</td>
<td>General Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
<td>6.178</td>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</td>
<td>5.478</td>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Control</td>
<td>5.150</td>
<td>Social and Behavioral Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of Epidemiology</td>
<td>5.147</td>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Part B: Critical Reviews</td>
<td>5.146</td>
<td>Environmental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulletin of the World Health Organization</td>
<td>5.112</td>
<td>Global Health : General Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Journal of Epidemiology</td>
<td>4.975</td>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers &amp; Prevention</td>
<td>4.324</td>
<td>Chronic Diseases &amp; Conditions : Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</td>
<td>4.281</td>
<td>General Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Journal of Public Health</td>
<td>4.229</td>
<td>General Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Research</td>
<td>3.951</td>
<td>Environmental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology</td>
<td>3.938</td>
<td>Communicable Diseases : Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Reports</td>
<td>3.314</td>
<td>Epidemiology : Community Health : General Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Epidemiology &amp; Community Health</td>
<td>3.294</td>
<td>Epidemiology : Community Health : General Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health</td>
<td>3.276</td>
<td>Environmental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</td>
<td>3.234</td>
<td>Environmental Health : Occupational Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment &amp; Health</td>
<td>3.096</td>
<td>Environmental Health : Occupational Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology</td>
<td>3.050</td>
<td>Environmental Health : Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Causes &amp; Control</td>
<td>2.951</td>
<td>Chronic Diseases &amp; Conditions : Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic Epidemiology</td>
<td>2.941</td>
<td>Chronic Diseases &amp; Conditions : Epidemiology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Journals and clusters: e.g. JAMA

- JAMA
- JAMA Dermatology
- JAMA Facial Plastic Surgery
- JAMA Internal Medicine
- JAMA Neurology
- JAMA Oncology
- JAMA Ophthalmology
- JAMA Otolaryngology—Head & Neck Surgery

- JAMA Pediatrics
- JAMA Psychiatry
- JAMA Surgery
Article Types & Instructions to Authors

• [http://www.plosone.org](http://www.plosone.org)

  “All good science deserves to be published”

• As long as your work reaches a high technical and ethical standard, *PLOS ONE* will publish it - and make it freely available to a global audience.

• **Scope**
  – *PLOS ONE* features reports of original research from all disciplines within science and medicine. By not excluding papers on the basis of subject area, *PLOS ONE* facilitates the discovery of the connections between papers whether within or between disciplines.

• Open/free access

• In part supported by publication fee
  – Up to $1,500 but can be reduced or waived
Overview of journals published by BioMed Central

BioMed Central publishes 287 open access journals which between them cover all areas of biology and medicine. The journals are peer reviewed, broadly indexed, and use the funder-compliant Creative Commons Attribution license for their articles. Within this common framework, the journals differ with regard to their selectivity and specialisation, ranging from titles such as Genome Biology and Cell Communication & Signalling to Trials and Critical Care.

The more than 60 subject-specific journals in the BMC series are inclusive, focused on the needs of individual research communities and committed to publishing all sound science provided that a new work provides some advance in knowledge. The BMC series also includes BMC Medicine and BMC Biology which are highly selective, publishing work of special importance and broad interest.

BioMed Central is committed to helping authors find the right home for their research. As a service we therefore support manuscript transfers, allowing authors to transfer their manuscript file and reviewer comments to an alternative journal within the portfolio, or in some cases beyond.

Many of the titles we publish are society journals or journals that are owned by governmental agencies, as for example Journal of Biomedical Science. An increasing number of the titles in BioMed Central's portfolio are journals that transferred to us and converted from the subscription model to open access.

Please use the menu on the left-hand side of this page or contact us for further information about the journals published by BioMed Central.
Submission process

• Submit with cover letter including:
  – Statement of importance & journal fit
  – Suggestions for potential reviewers (avoid COI)*
  – If applicable, names of those who would not be appropriate or who would be antagonistic*

• Follow the journal Instructions to Authors
  – Word count
  – Citation format
  – Headers, other details
  – Avoid delays!
Manuscript review process (1)

Electronic submission
Assignment to Deputy/Associate Editor
Screening (saves time), if favorable...
Assignment to 2-3 external reviewers
Decision review by editorial board
Manuscript review process (2)

Letter to author (expect ~8 weeks +/-): “No” vs. various forms of “Yes” (“No” = bad journal fit or “No” = flaws)

Revise and resubmit – (1, 2, 3 times) Watch deadlines! (Odds getting better and better with each revision)

Acceptance letter 😊, assignment of copyright

“In press” (& on to your CV)

Publication (online 1st, print, online only)
Process can be confusing – ask for help!

THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

December 2, 1987

Anne M. Joseph, MD, MPH
VA Medical Center
General Medicine Section (1110)
54th Street & 48th Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55417

Re: 87/658 "Compliance With Fecal Occult Blood Testing:"
Dear Dr. Joseph,

Thank you for sending us your manuscript. We would be interested in reviewing a revised version that meets the concerns noted in the enclosed editor's and reviewers' comments. We would also like to see it shortened to the format of a Public Health Brief, with not more than 1000 words in the text, 75 words in the Abstract, plus tables and the figure.

Sincerely,

Alfred Yankauer, MD, MPH
Editor, American Journal of Public Health

Enclosure
Ethical Issues in Publication

Potential Misconduct by Authors

• Unethical research (protection of research subjects, care and use of laboratory animals)
• Plagiarism
• Redundant (duplicate) publication
• Inappropriate authorship
• Altering images to distort findings
• Undeclared conflicts of interest

Consequences

• Rejection, retraction, corrections
• Informing author’s institution
Information sources

- Author instructions for journal
- ICMJE - INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF MEDICAL JOURNAL EDITORS  www.icmje.org
- Equator Network - Enhancing the QUALity and Transparency Of health Research  www.equator-network.org
- COPE – Committee on Publication Ethics
Protection of Research Subjects

Human subjects and data

- Include statement (usually in Methods):
  - Research was approved, or exempted from need for review, by the responsible review committee
  - Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Animal Experiments

- Include statement:
  - All institutional and national standards for the care and use of laboratory animals were followed
  - Identify the institutional and/or licensing committee approving the experiments

- Follow ARRIVE guidelines (preclinical in vivo work): [http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/arrive-guidelines](http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/arrive-guidelines)
Plagiarism

• Attempting to pass off someone else's work as your own (missing or inadequate attribution)

• Self-plagiarism “when large chunks of text have been cut-and-pasted”

• Publishers may use plagiarism checking software such as CrossCheck to help editors verify the originality of submitted manuscripts. Selected submitted manuscripts are scanned and compared with the CrossCheck database.

http://www.nature.com/authors/policies/plagiarism.html
Overlapping Publication

- **Duplicate submission**: Must not submit a manuscript simultaneously to more than one journal (irrespective of language).

- **Duplicate publication**: Must not submit a manuscript that overlaps substantially with one already published, without clear reference to the previous publication.

- **Usually not considered “pre-publication”**:
  - Posters, published meeting abstracts, dissertations, required data in short abstracts in clinical trial registries.

- **Be cautious about**:
  - Salami science
  - Press releases that include substantive data
  - Posting your data or pre-acceptance manuscript online

Inappropriate Authorship

Criteria for authorship – you must meet all 4!

1) Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work;

2) Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content;

3) Final approval of the version to be published;

4) Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Other contributions can and should be noted in Acknowledgements (but get the person’s permission to do so!)

Inappropriate Authorship

**Guest author** – Person named as an author without having made substantial contributions

**Ghost author** – Person who meets authorship criteria but has been omitted from the author list

**Journal policies continue to evolve:**

- Some require explanation of “who did what”
- Some allow “co-first authorship”
  (http://www.fasebj.org/content/early/2013/07/09/fj.13-235630.full.pdf)
- Some have explicit guidelines for “group authorship”
  (large consortia or multisite trials)
Altering Images

- Images should be “minimally processed” (may be technique dependent; adhere to “community standards”)
- Final image must correctly represent the original data
- If you process, provide details (image acquisition tools & settings; image processing software used)
- Processing (e.g., changing brightness and contrast) must be applied equally across the entire image and equally to controls
- Do not use touch up tools (Photoshop)

http://www.nature.com/authors/policies/image.html
Undeclared Conflicts of Interest (COI)

- COI exists when professional judgment concerning a primary interest (patients' welfare, validity of research) may be influenced by a secondary interest (personal or financial gain).
- Perceptions of COI are as important as actual COI
- COI must be managed and declared
- Must report funding sources for the work (sponsors) and their roles in study design; data collection, analysis, interpretation; writing of the report; decision to submit for publication
- “I had full access to all of the data in this study and I take complete responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.”

U of MN COI Policies and Resources

http://www.compliance.umn.edu/conflictResearch.htm
1. Copyright

Must request permission (from publisher) to reproduce tables, figures, images (identical or adapted) or reuse portions of text, even if you are author of the original material.
2. Availability of data

To editors: “Authors should be prepared to provide original study data and statistical code if requested by the editors…. editors may cease consideration of a manuscript if the authors cannot or will not provide the data” (Annals of Internal Medicine)

To readers: “..authors are required to make materials, data, code, and associated protocols promptly available to readers without undue qualifications.” (Nature journals)
Example from *Blood*

Authors must deposit their high-throughput microarray data (mRNA, miRNA, and genomic DNA (arrayCGH, ChIP-chip, and SNP) arrays into a public database ([Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) or [Array Express](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/)) or provide open access to their own Web-based data repository.
3. Timeliness of data

Example, *JAMA* network:

- **RCT**: Ideally within 1 year after follow-up is completed.
- **Cohort studies**: Submit manuscript 5 years or less from date of final follow up
- **Case-control or cross-sectional studies**: Data collected as recently as possible, no more than 5 years before submission.

4. Article accessibility

Requirement to post accepted article in publicly available repositories (e.g., PubMed Central for NIH)

5. Press embargos